

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	ED CV 16-0011 DSF (JCG)	Date	March 22, 2016
Title	<i>Patrick F. Paz, Sr. v. County of Riverside et al.</i>		

Present: The Honorable **Jay C. Gandhi, United States Magistrate Judge**

Kristee Hopkins	None Appearing	N/A
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
None Appearing	None Appearing	

Proceedings: **(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**

On January 4, 2016, Patrick F. Paz, Sr. (“Plaintiff”), a California prisoner proceeding *pro se*, lodged a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (“Complaint”). [Dkt. No. 1.] On February 11, 2016, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint (“Motion”) on the basis of several deficiencies. [Dkt. No. 10.] On February 12, 2016, Magistrate Judge Michael Wilner ordered Plaintiff to respond to the Motion by March 11, 2016. [Dkt. No. 11.] This order included an express warning:

“Plaintiff is advised that the failure to respond to this order or the motion will lead the Court to conclude that the motion is unopposed under Local Rule 7-12, and the action will be dismissed on that basis and under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 for failure to prosecute this action.”

[*Id.*] As of today’s date – more than a week past that deadline – Plaintiff has yet to oppose the Motion.

Moreover, on March 16, 2016, Defendants notified the Court that they were unable to serve Plaintiff at his address of record. [Dkt. No. 21.] Additionally, several items of the Court’s mail to Plaintiff were returned as undeliverable. [Dkt. Nos. 22-29.] It would appear that Plaintiff has relocated but failed to file a change of address with the Court.

Accordingly, within **fourteen days** of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. If Plaintiff files his response to the Motion and a notice of change of address within **fourteen days** of the date of this Order, he need not separately respond to this Order to Show Cause.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	<u>ED CV 16-0011 DSF (JCG)</u>	Date	<u>March 22, 2016</u>
Title	<u><i>Patrick F. Paz, Sr. v. County of Riverside et al.</i></u>		

Plaintiff is cautioned that his failure to respond will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

cc: Parties of Record

00 : 00
Initials of Clerk kh